

## EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: ..... Priya Nair .....

2. Ph.D Registration Number: ..... PHD/2019-20/0041 .....

3. Department: ..... Management .....

4. General features of Thesis      ok

(i) Organization and Presentation is good:      Yes      YES /NO

(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute?      Yes      YES /NO

(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any      Yes      YES /NO

new ideas with original thoughts?

5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.)

(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:

NONE

☒ MINOR

REQUIRE CHANGES

(ii) Technical content of the thesis: The chapter was very well presented & elaborated in details.

(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis:

- Research is very good.

6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)

No

## 7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form. ✓
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board. ✓
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance. N.A



(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Pradeep Chaturvedi

E-mail: pradeep-CHA@gmail.com

Designation: Assistant Professor

Address: wisdom School of Management, Rishikesh.

## EVALUATION REPORT OF PHD THESIS

1. Name of student: ..... Priya Nair .....

2. Ph.D Registration Number: ..... PHD/2019-20/0041 .....

3. Department: ..... Management .....

4. General features of Thesis OK.

(i) Organization and Presentation is good: OK YES /NO

(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute? OK YES /NO

(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any OK YES /NO

new ideas with original thoughts?

5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.)

(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:

☒ NONE

☐ MINOR

☐ REQUIRE CHANGES

(ii) Technical content of the thesis: The Content of the thesis is much explained, clearly and explicitly.

(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis: It has data analysis, presentation and interpretation, which is neatly defined.

6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)

I found that the thesis is prepared well and according to the guidelines provided by the University.

### 7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form. ✓
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board. ✓
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance. N.A



(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Pankaj Kumar

E-mail: pankaj15@gmail.com

Designation: Assistant Professor

Address: S.P. Post graduate College Sonbhadra.