

EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: H S HANUMANTHAPPA

2. Ph.D Registration Number: **PHD/2017-18/0005**

3. Department: ENVIROMENTAL SCIENCE

4. General features of Thesis -

(i) Organization and Presentation is good: YES

(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute? YES

(iii) Does the Thesis has embodied any YES

New ideas with original thoughts?

5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.)

(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:

NONE

MINOR(YES)

REQUIRE CHANGES

(ii) Technical content of the Thesis:

The analysis of this study is done by the researcher on the basis of objectives of the study, questionnaires and hypothesis of this study on identification prioritization And management of Hot Specks.

(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the Thesis:

The main and major explanation behind this study is to work on the management techniques of hot specks in western ghats and the researcher has clarified each and everything relevant to this. And weak point of this research is that according to the topic introduction should be in more detailed.

6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)

1. Conclusion is showing the major study of the thesis scholar has need to more sub headings to make the research very easy to understand.
2. scholar has need to include the major finding of the study of the research.

Overall the work is acceptable .

7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

(i) The Thesis is acceptable in the present form. (yes)

(ii) The Thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the Thesis to the satisfaction of the board.

(iii) The Thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance.



(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Devendra Kumar

E-mail: devambd@yahoo.com

Designation: Associate Professor

Address: Deveta Mahavidhalaya, Morna UP

THESIS REPORT:

According to study of scholar defining, The biodiversity of one hallowed woodland, one stronghold, and one level was uncommonly high. The discoveries uncovered a significant negative affiliation, demonstrating that the worth of biodiversity resources lessens as biological danger evaluations rise. Changes in conventional information works on, brushing, the travel industry, transportation, and urbanization all assumed a part in lessening the biodiversity of the hotspots, as per the risk evaluation.

The result of one danger disintegrating the hotspots turning into a driving element for different dangers turned into a sign for different dangers.

These focused on areas of interest with important to direct biodiversity resources and sensible natural worries request protection activity since they harbor rich biodiversity while at the same time confronting outcomes from extending anthropogenic action.

Objective 1: Identification of biodiversity hotspots

The hotspots having a place with every one of the three typologies were plotted on a guide of the exploration district, and hotspots were picked for ground overview utilizing an irregular example procedure.

Objective 2: Develop a geospatial database for prioritising of hotspots

The foundations of the Management Effectiveness Evaluation scoring framework for safeguarded regions were utilized to measure these variables.

Objective 3: Develop a framework for a participatory approach and management planning for active involvement of the communities and stakeholders in conservation of identified hotspots



EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: H S HANUMANTHAPPA

2. Ph.D Registration Number: **PHD/2017-18/0005**

3. Department: ENVIRONMENT SCIENCE

4. General features of Thesis -

(i) Organization and Presentation is good: YES

(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute? YES

(iii) Does the Thesis has embodied any YES

New ideas with original thoughts?

5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.)

(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:

NONE

MINOR (YES)

REQUIRE CHANGES

(ii) Technical content of the Thesis:

Scholar elaborate the method used in the study in the form of table with 11 methods which is designed for assessments for biodiversity that makes the study very easy to understand.

(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the Thesis:

Major highlight of this study is that the researcher has worked on three objective of the study which is representing of the topic very well.

And the weak point of the study of this work is conclusion of the research that is not clarifying the work .

6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)

1. References are written in a systematic and informative pattern by using the APA format of writing the references.

2. Candidate has also need to add the Social Relevance of the research work to justify his research work in the social context of the study.

As per my experience of this thesis and report, the work done by the researcher Mr Hanumanthappa is acceptable with minor corrections and research work is acceptable with minor corrections and suggestions.


7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

(i) The Thesis is acceptable in the present form. (yes)

(ii) The Thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the Thesis to the satisfaction of the board.

(iii) The Thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance.



(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Avadhesh Kumar Koshal

E-mail: akkoshal@hotmail.com

Designation: Professor


Address: Motherhood University, Roorkee

THESIS REPORT:

Every one of the three objectives is progressively connected with the others. Thus, there is a characteristic movement of distinguishing proof, prioritization, and the executives that prompts preservation and long haul use. Each point, then again, has its own methodology, results, conversations, and the executives results, bringing about a full logical review that might be utilized for the Western Ghats.

The objective of this study was to recognize the particular typologies of these areas of interest and focus on each site inside various scene highlights utilizing a 'Quick Biodiversity Assessment Tool' in view of a bunch of logical qualities.

The quick evaluation strategy incorporated various key models that were arranged into biodiversity resources and biological risks, with in the middle between.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Anand', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.