

## EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: ..... Shilpa Thakur

2. Ph.D Registration Number: ... PHD/2018-19/0006

3. Department: ..... Biotechnology.

4. General features of thesis -

Dr. Arti Chaudhary

(i) Organization and Presentation is good: NO

(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute? OK NO

(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any OK NO

new ideas with original thoughts? OK

5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.)

(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:

NONE

MINOR

REQUIRE CHANGES

(ii) Technical content of the thesis: All the chapters were written with correct grammar & Punctuation.

(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis:

It has data analysis, presentation and interpretation, which is neatly explained.

6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)

I found that the thesis is prepared very well and according to the guidelines provided by the University.

### 7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form.
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board.
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance. N.A



(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Arti Gupta

E-mail: gurgurishav415@gmail.com

Designation: Assistant Professor, Dept of Biotechnology.

Address: Shri Avadh. Rao Singh Smarak Mahavidyalaya,  
Gauraha.

## EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: ..... Shilpa Thatkur

2. Ph.D Registration Number: ... PhD/2018-19/0006

3. Department: ..... Biotechnology

4. General features of thesis - Good.

Dr. Pallavi Mittal  
Dr. Pallavi Mittal

(i) Organization and Presentation is good: Yes NO

(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute? Yes NO

(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any Yes NO

new ideas with original thoughts?

5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.) Good.

(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:

NONE

✓  
MINOR

REQUIRE CHANGES

(ii) Technical content of the thesis: The chapter was very well presented & elaborated in details.

(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis:

• The Strong point is very well explained in a right manner.

6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)

• Suggestions are to increase the Scope of the Project and detailed overview of the analysis.



## 7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form. ✓
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board ✓
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance. Not applicable.

Pallavi

(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Pallavi Mittal

E-mail: ms. pallavimittal@rediffmail.com

Designation: Assistant Professor, Dept of Biotechnology.

Address: Physiotherapy & Biotechnology College, ITS, Ghaziabad.