EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: Jayaprakash M.G.
2. Ph.D Registration Number: Ph.D / 2018-19 / 0009. Dr. Arvind Yadav Associate Professor ESE Deprine Associate Professor ESE Deprine Associate Professor ESE Deprine
3. Department: Computer Science. Associate (roles) Ich Uminosi) MB! 88 98 7555191 Orvindo 70 566 @ gmil. Com Orvindo 70 566 @ gmil.
4. General features of thesis - It was upto the mark.
(i) Organization and Presentation is good:
(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute?
(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any Yes NO
new ideas with original thoughts?
5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.)
(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:
NONE MINOR REQUIRE CHANGES
(ii) Technical content of the thesis: The chapter was very well presented and elaborated in detail.
(iii)Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis:
Research is very good of doesn't consist of negative comments.
6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)
Suggestions are to increase the Scope of the project &
detailed overview of the analysis.

7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form.
- is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement The thesis (ii) suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board.
- The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried (iii) out before acceptance. N.A

(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Arvind Yadav (Associate Professor), ESE Department

E-mail: arvindo 70566@gmail.Com

Designation: Associate Professor

Address: ESE Department, KL University
Mos. No : - 8895755191

EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: Jayaprakash M.G
2. Ph.D Registration Number: PHD/2018-19/0009
3. Department: Computer Science.
4. General features of thesis -
(i) Organization and Presentation is good: Ok NO
(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute? OK.
(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any OK NO
new ideas with original thoughts?
5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.) Nicely Presented
(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language: NONE MINOR REQUIRE CHANGES
(ii) Technical content of the thesis: The Content of the thesis is much explained, clearly and explicitly
(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis: It has data analysis, presentation and interpretation, which is neatly defined. 6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)
I found that the thesis in prepared well and according to the guidelines provided by the University.

7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form.
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board.
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance. N.A

(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Ramesh Kumar Mojjada

E-mail: vameshkumar. mojjada @gnail. Com

Designation: Assistant Professor

Address: ECM dept. Research Block, IInd Floor, K L. University, Greenfields, Vaddeswaram. District - Gentur, Andhra Pradesh - 522502.

Mob. NO: +91-8357223620.