## EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: Juli Jeyapriya M.
2. Ph.D Registration Number: PHD/2-019 - 20/0027
3. Department: Management
4. General features of thesis - Ok
(i) Organization and Presentation is go NO
(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute?
(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any
new ideas with original thoughts?
5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.) - U-A -
(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:
NONE MINOR REQUIRE CHANGES
(ii) Technical content of the thesis: All the chapters were written with Correct
grannar & punctuation.
(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis: The Story point is very well explained in a right manner as required, but the weak point is always to luminer may give details on additional sheets.)
To increase the scope of the project of delailed overview
of the analysis.

## 7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form.
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance.

Allea Florine

(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Alka Sharma

E-mail: alkasharma Dynhos. Com

Designation: Arristant professor, Dept of Management Science.

Address: University College of Business Studies, A ralodge,

## EVALUATION REPORT OF PH.D THESIS

1. Name of student: Juli Jeyapriya M
2. Ph.D Registration Number: PHD / 219-20/0027
3. Department: Management  Dr. Eshar Sharma
4. General features of thesis - gued.
(i) Organization and Presentation is good: 0C, NO
(ii) Is the quality of work is of repute?
(iii) Does the thesis has embodied any ok NO
new ideas with original thoughts?
5. Comments (The examiner may give details on additional sheet(s), if required.) 0k
(i) Corrections for punctuation, grammar, spelling, typographical errors or language:
NQME MINOR REQUIRE CHANGES
(ii) Technical content of the thesis: The Contant of the thesis is much explained clearly and explicitly.
(iii) Highlights and strong/weak points in the thesis:  It has a later analysis, presentation and interpretation, which is neatly defined.  6. Suggestions (The examiner may give details on additional sheets.)
I found that the their's in prepared well and according
to the guidelines provided by the University.

## 7. Specific Recommendation

(Please cross out any two paras out of the following)

- (i) The thesis is acceptable in the present form
- (ii) The thesis is acceptable and the corrections, modifications and improvement suggested by me be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the board.
- (iii) The thesis needs major technical improvement/modifications which must be carried out before acceptance. N.A

Edushand.

(Signature of the Examiner)

Name: Dr. Eash Sharma Bhagra.

E-mail: Esha. bhagras @sedifficil. Con

Designation: Assistant Professor of Management

Address: National law University, Shinda,

Hinachal Pradesh - 171011.